The Journal of Extension

Volume 62 | Number 3

Article 19

9-8-2024

A Forest Management Evaluation System for Small Private Forest Landowners

Pete Bettinger University of Georgia, pbettinger@warnell.uga.edu

Taeyoon Lee University of Georgia, taeyoon.lee@uga.edu

Krista Merry University of Georgia, kmerry@warnell.uga.edu

Daniel Drummond Southern Regional Extension Forestry, ddrummond@sref.info



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 License.

Recommended Citation

Bettinger, P., Lee, T., Merry, K., & Drummond, D. (2024). A Forest Management Evaluation System for Small Private Forest Landowners. *The Journal of Extension, 62*(3), Article 19. https://open.clemson.edu/joe/vol62/iss3/19

This Tools of the Trade is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences at Clemson OPEN. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Journal of Extension by an authorized editor of Clemson OPEN. For more information, please contact kokeefe@clemson.edu.

A Forest Management Evaluation System for Small Private Forest Landowners

PETE BETTINGER¹, TAEYOON LEE¹, KRISTA MERRY¹, AND DANIEL DRUMMOND²

AUTHORS: ¹University of Georgia. ²Southern Regional Extension Forestry.

Extension

Abstract. When small private forest landowners have a need to address jointly economic and sustainability objectives, efficiency in both respects becomes important given limitations on the land, budget, time, and other resources that are available. The suite of forest management options available to a landowner may be vast and complex, therefore a tool to assist and inform their potential management activities can be of value. The eYield model was developed as an application (app) to assess forest management options on many different computing devices, from cellphones to desktop computers. Within eYield, a person can define a management situation, specify prices and costs for potential management actions, and receive economic, commodity production, and biological (tree volume, tree density) outcomes associated with different forest management scenarios.

INTRODUCTION

Of the 42% of the southern United States that is forested (Bettinger & Merry, 2019), about 86% is privately owned (Oswalt et al., 2019). Small, private landowners often own natural deciduous (hardwood) and coniferous (pine) forests. In the 1980s and 1990s, the Tennessee Valley Authority developed a Windows-based forest management model (Hepp, 1982, 1984) that helped Extension agents, consultants, and forest landowners assess management alternatives by describing forest growth, wood yields, and economic outcomes of potential future management activities. In the early 2000s, the developmental support for the Winyield model ended. In 2018, with support from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, developers began work on eYield (eyield.uga.edu). The eYield model is an Internet-based financial and biological growth model emulating the projections and outcomes of Winyield using a responsive design that facilitates its use by Extension agents on nearly any computing device: phones, tablets, laptops, and desktop computers. The eYield model projects the development of seven natural forest types common to the eastern United States: loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*), slash pine (*P. elliottii*), shortleaf pine (*P. echinata*), longleaf pine (*P. palustris*), white pine (*P. strobus*), oak-hickory (*Quercus* spp. and *Carya* spp.), and yellow-poplar (*Lirioden-dron tulipifera*). These were the natural forest types included in the Winyield model.

The objective of this paper is to briefly describe the information required to use eYield and the types of outcomes that eYield can produce. While these efforts have an Extension agent in mind as the primary reader, many others (e. g., consultants, private landowners, and agency or company foresters) may benefit from an overview of the model.

INPUT INFORMATION FOR EYIELD

There are eight steps to design an eYield simulation. After accessing the eYield application and initiating a new scenario, the first step prompts the selection of desired reports (Figure 1). In step 2, an Extension agent identifies basic information about the analysis, such as which simulator to use, the stand name, and the narrative notes (Figure 2). In Step 3, the user identifies a reference or starting year, along with detail describing the initial forest condition (Figure 3), which may include basal area (ft² per acre, density of trees at 4.5 feet above ground) and

Bettinger, Lee, Merry, and Drummond

trees per acre, depending on the simulator. An Extension agent selects the log rule—the method for determining how many board feet (nominally 1 inch thick \times 12 inches wide \times 12 inches long) can be produced from a tree of a given size—which provides the flexibility to adjust to local or regional convention. In addition, the user identifies a minimum top diameter for pulpwood and a conversion rate that translates cubic feet of solid wood to cords (nominally, 128 ft³) of wood.

Step 4 requires a description of the tract's site quality, or site index (Figure 4). A site index value represents the average height of the dominant and co-dominant (by crown class) trees in a forest at a given base age. For example, site index 75 (base age 25) suggests that the dominant and co-dominant trees in a forest will be 75 feet tall when they are 25 years old. In Step 5, an Extension agent specifies harvest regimes; this requires users to establish the age at which a thinning or a final harvest (clearcut) is desired, along with the residual basal area (ft² per acre) of trees that remain standing in the forest after the harvest activity is completed (Figure 5). Users can also specify an estimate of the expenses (as a percentage of the revenue) for the harvest activity. The user provides other economic factors in Step 6, such as the length of a planning horizon, the income tax rates (ordinary and capital gains), and the discount rate that will be used to calculate net present value and other economic metrics (Figure 6). In Step 7, the user can specify other types of financial transactions related to reforestation, hunting leases, and other important aspects of the management of the forest (Figure 7).

Finally, in Step 8, an Extension agent describes the forest products that may be generated during harvests (Figure 8). These products are diameter-based; in other words, the agent identifies a range of tree diameters for each product class. For example, pulpwood products may come from trees that are 5–9 in. in diameter at breast height, and sawtimber products may come from trees that are 10 or more in. in diameter at breast height. Two caveats are important here: (a) the diameter ranges cannot overlap, and (b) some pulpwood may be produced from the tops of sawtimber-sized trees, depending on the selected simulator.



Figure 1. Step 1: Selecting the desired reports.

Evaluating Small Forests

✓	STEP 2:	STEP 3:	STEP 4:	STEP 5:	STEP 6:	STEP 7:	STEP 8:	STEP 9
ulator Reports	Basic Information	Stand Parameters	Site Index	Harvest Regime	Financial Parameters	Financial Transactions	Market Stumpage Prices	Results
							() Clear () Restart	L Export
							o clear	• Export
Basic Information	on							
Warning: Do NOT	use your browser's BACK	button. Use the buttons l	below or menu items to na	vigate the simulator.				Tutorial: 🚺
Cimulatan t								
Simulator: Select the desired sim	nulator							
Natural Lobioliv Pine	2							
Natural Lobiolly Pine	2							````
Natural Lobiolly Pine	s the growth and yield of a stand	table. So, the user can enter a s	stand table or the user can simple	y onter the total trees per acre fo	ar the stand, or simply a basal as	22. If there is no indication of the	trees per arre by the user total t	
Natural Loblolly Pine	s the growth and yield of a stand	table. So, the user can enter a s	stand table, or the user can simply	y enter the total trees per acre fo in developed using a Weibull dist	or the stand, or simply a basal ar ribution. Total basal area is oroi	ea. If there is no indication of the	trees per acre by the user, total t e. orior basal area, and site index.	rees per acre are , from relationships
Natural Lobiolly Pine This simulator project estimated using meth in Sullivan and Clutter	s the growth and yield of a stand ods described in Burk and Burkh (1972). The stand table is projec	table. So, the user can enter a s art (1984). Further, for these lat ted using methods derived from	stand table, or the user can simply tter two cases, a stand table is the n Clutter and Jones (1980). When p	y enter the total trees per acre fo in developed using a Weibull dist projected, survival of trees is esti	or the stand, or simply a basal ar ribution. Total basal area is proji mated using methods described	ea. If there is no indication of the ected as a function of harvest, ag in Schumacher and Colle (1960).	trees per acre by the user, total t e, prior basal area, and site index. Dominant heights of trees for ea	rees per acre are , from relationships ch diameter class a
Natural Lobioly Pine This simulator project estimated using meth- in Sullivan and Clutter estimated using meth-	a s the growth and yield of a stand ods described in Burk and Burkh (1972). The stand table is projec ods described in Farrar (1973) an	table. So, the user can enter a s art (1984). Further, for these lat ted using methods derived from d a correction factor from Coile	stand table, or the user can simply tter two cases, a stand table is the n Clutter and Jones (1980). When f a and Schumacher (1953). Volume	y enter the total trees per acre fo in developed using a Weibull dist projected, survival of trees is esti s and weights are calculated usi	or the stand, or simply a basal ar ribution. Total basal area is proje mated using methods described ng relationships from Clutter and	ea. If there is no indication of the cted as a function of harvest, ag in Schumacher and Colle (1960). Jones (1980), Burkhart et al. (197	trees per acre by the user, total t e, prior basal area, and site index. Dominant heights of trees for ea 72), and Burkhart (1977).	rrees per acre are , from relationships ch diameter class a
Natural Lobiolity Pine This simulator project estimated using meth- in Sullivan and Clutter estimated using meth- Read more about t	a s the growth and yield of a stand ods described in Burk and Burkh (1972). The stand table is projec ods described in Farrar (1973) an the Natural Lobiolly Pine Sim	table. So, the user can enter a s art (1984). Further, for these lat ted using methods derived from id a correction factor from Coile sulator Model	stand table, or the user can simply ter two cases, a stand table is the n Clutter and Jones (1980). When p and Schumacher (1953). Volume	y enter the total trees per acre fo in developed using a Weibull dist projected, survival of trees is est s and weights are calculated usin	or the stand, or simply a basal ar ribution. Total basal area is proju mated using methods described gg relationships from Clutter and	ea. If there is no indication of the cted as a function of harvest, ag in Schumacher and Coile (1960). Jones (1980), Burkhart et al. (197	trees per acre by the user, total t e, prior basal area, and site index. Dominant heights of trees for ea 2), and Burkhart (1977).	rees per acre are , from relationships ch diameter class ar
Natural Lobiolly Pine This simulator project estimated using meth in Sullivan and Clutter estimated using meth Read more about t Stand Name:	a s the growth and yield of a stand ods described in Burk and Burkh (1972). The stand table is projec ods described in Farrar (1973) ar he Natural Lobioly Pine Sin	table. So, the user can enter a s art (1984). Further, for these lat ted using methods derived from d a correction factor from Colle ulator Model	stand table, or the user can simply ter two cases, a stand table is the C Clutter and Jones (1980). When p a and Schumacher (1953). Volume:	y enter the total trees per acre f n developed using a Weibuli dist projected, survival of trees is est s and weights are calculated usin	or the stand, or simply a basal an ribution. Total basal area is proju mated using methods described og relationships from Clutter and	aa. If there is no indication of the cted as a function of harvest, ag in Schumacher and Colle (1960). Jones (1980), Burkhart et al. (197	trees per acre by the user, total t e, prior basal area, and site index. Dominant heights of trees for ea (2), and Burkhart (1977).	rees per acre are , from relationships ch diameter class ai
Natural Lobiolity Pine This simulator project estimated using meth in Sullivan and Clutter estimated using meth Read more about t Stand Name: Enter any identifying r	a s the growth and yield of a stand ods described in Burk and Burkh (1972). The stand table is projec ods described in Farrar (1973) ar he Natural Lobioly Pine Sir name for the stand (30 character	table. So, the user can enter a s art (1984). Further, for these lat ted using methods derived from d a correction factor from Colle ulator Model s or less).	stand table, or the user can simply ter two cases, a stand table is the C futter and Jones (1980). When p a and Schumacher (1953). Volume:	y enter the total trees per acre fo in developed using a Weibull dist projected, survival of trees is est s and weights are calculated usin	or the stand, or simply a basal an ribution. Total basal area is proje mated using methods described ig relationships from Clutter and	aa. If there is no indication of the cted as a function of harvest, ag in Schumacher and Coile (1960). Jones (1980), Burkhart et al. (197	trees per acre by the user, total t e, prior basal area, and site index. Dominant heights of trees for ea '2), and Burkhart (1977).	rees per acre are , from relationships ch diameter class ai
Natural Lobiolity Pine This simulator project estimated using meth- in Sullivan and Clutter estimated using meth Read more about t Stand Name: Enter any identifying I	a s the growth and yield of a stand ods described in Burk and Burkh (1972). The stand table is projec ods described in Farrar (1973) ar he Natural Lobiolly Pine Sim name for the stand (30 character	table. So, the user can enter a : art (1984). Further, for these lat ted using methods derived from d a correction factor from Coile uulator Model s or less).	stand table, or the user can simply ter two cases, a stand table is the n Clutter and Jones (1980). When p and Schumacher (1953). Volume	y enter the total trees per acre f n developed using a Weibuil dist projected, survival of trees is esti s and weights are calculated usir	or the stand, or simply a basal ar ribution. Total basal area is proj mated using methods described gg relationships from Clutter and	ea. If there is no indication of the cted as a function of harvest, ag in Schumacher and Colle (1960). Jones (1980), Burkhart et al. (197	trees per acre by the user, total t e, prior basal area, and site index. Dominant heights of trees for ea /2), and Burkhart (1977).	, rees per acre are , from relationships ch diameter class ar
Natural Lobiolity Pine This simulator project estimated using meth in Sullivan and Clutter estimated using meth Read more about t Stand Name: Enter any identifying t	a s the growth and yield of a stand ods described in Burk and Burkh (1972). The stand table is projec ods described in Farrar (1973) an the Natural Lobiolly Pine Sin name for the stand (30 character	table. So, the user can enter a s art (1984). Further, for these lat ted using methods derived from d a correction factor from Colle uulator Model s or less).	stand table, or the user can simply ter two cases, a stand table is the n Clutter and Jones (1980). When p and Schumacher (1953). Volume	y enter the total trees per acre f n developed using a Weibuil dist projected, survival of trees is esti s and weights are calculated usin	or the stand, or simply a basal an ribution. Total basal area is proj mated using methods described ng relationships from Clutter and	ea. If there is no indication of the cted as a function of harvest, ag in Schumacher and Coile (1960). Jones (1980), Burkhart et al. (197	trees per acre by the user, total t e, prior basal area, and site index Dominant heights of trees for ea /2), and Burkhart (1977).	rees per acre are , from relationships ch diameter class ai
Natural Lobiolity Pine This simulator project estimated using meth in Sullivan and Cluter estimated using meth Read more about t Stand Name: Enter any identifying r	a s the growth and yield of a stand ods described in Burk and Burkh (1972). The stand table is projec ods described in Farrar (1973) an the Natural Lobiolly Pine Sim name for the stand (30 character	table. So, the user can enter a s art (1984). Further, for these lat ted using methods derived from d a correction factor from Colle sulator Model s or less).	stand table, or the user can simply ter two cases, a stand table is the n Clutter and Jones (1980). When p and Schumacher (1953). Volume	y enter the total trees per acre fr n developed using a Weibull dist projected, survival of trees is esti s and weights are calculated usin	or the stand, or simply a basal ar ributton. Total basal area is projo mated using methods described gg relationships from Clutter and	ea. If there is no indication of the cted as a function of harvest. ag in Schumacher and Coile (1960). Jones (1980). Burkhart et al. (197	trees per acre by the user, total t e, prior basal area, and site index. Dominant heights of trees for ea /2), and Burkhart (1977).	rees per acre are , from relationships ch diameter class a

Figure 2. Step 2: Selecting the simulator and providing information about the stand.

		৩ Clear ଓ Restart 4 Export
Simulator Model: Natural Loblolly Pine		🏽 💿 🔂 😜 🚭 🤤
Stand Parameters		
Warning: Do NOT use your browser's BACK button. Use the	buttons below or menu items to navigate the	simulator. Tutorial:
Stand parameters define stand conditions and calibrate a simul	ator. An assortment of options which vary with s	tand age and stand type are available for specifying stand density.
Number of Acres: Enter the number of acres in the stand (at most, to the nearest 1/100th).		Log Rule: $*$ $\textcircled{0}$ Select Scribner, International, or Doyle rules for calculating thousands of board feet of sawtimber.
100		International
Reference Year: * ⑦ Enter the reference year for stand age, generally the present year.		Stand Age: * Enter the stand age. For natural stands it is average stand age.
2023		20
Pulpwood top diameter (inches): * ⑦		
Cubic feet per cord conversion equation: *		Subject to a minimum of 50 cubic feet per cord and a maximum of: * cubic feet per cord
77.1 • 1.4	x DBH	110
Stocking Specifications: *		
Basal Area per Acre		
Basal Area / Acre (ft²): * ⑦ Enter the Basal Area in ft ² per acre corresponding with the stand age enter	ed previously. This is required for natural stand simulator	3.

Figure 3. Step 3: Entering stand size, reference year, and basal area per acre; identifying the applicable log rule and conversion factor.

1	1	J	STEP 4:	STEP 5	STEP 6:	STEP 7	STEP 8	STEP 9
ulator Reports	Basic Information	Stand Parameters	Site Index	Harvest Regime	Financial Parameters	Financial Transactions	Market Stumpage Prices	Results
							ປ Clear ປ Restart	# Export # I
Simulator Model: Natural Lobiolly Pine		Numt	er of Acres: 100		Basal Area: 90 ft ²		۵ 💿 🛞	Reports:
Site Index								
Warning: Do NOT	use your browser's BACK	button. Use the buttons	below or menu items to na	vigate the simulator.				Tutorial: 💽
Calculation Method: Choose an option below	w to calculate Site Index							
Manual Entry								v
Base Age:								
25								~
	feet, from 60 to 120.							
Site Index (@25): * Enter the site index in t								
Site Index (@25): * Enter the site index in 1 75								

Figure 4. Step 4: Selecting site index base age and entering site index value.

	nulator							
√ nulator Re	≥ports Basic Informati	✓ on Stand Parameters	✓ STEI Site Index Harvest	P 5: Regime	STEP 6: Financial Parameters	STEP 7: Financial Transactions	STEP 8: Market Stumpage Prices	STEP 9: Results
							ර Clear ර Restart	i Export †
Simulat Natural L	or Model: .oblolly Pine	Number of Acres: 100	Basal Area: 90 ft ²		Site Index (75	@25):	۵ و ک	Reports
Harve: Warnin	st Regime ng: Do NOT use your browser	's BACK button. Use the buttons be	low or menu items to navigate the sim	nulator.				Tutorial: 🕨
You mu	st include at least one harvest	to move beyond this point.						
						-		
	Stand Age: *	Year:	Harvest Method: *	Residual BA/	acre: * %	Expense: *		
x	Stand Age: * 20	Year: 2023	Harvest Method: * Partial-cut - Low	Residual BA/	acre: * %	• Expense: * 10		
x x	Stand Age: * 20 20	Year: 2023 2023	Harvest Method: * Partial-cut - Low Partial-cut - Low	Residual BA/ 70 70 70	acre: * %	10 10		
x x x	Stand Age: * 20 20 40	Vear: 2023 2023 2043	Harvest Method: * Partial-cut - Low Partial-cut - Low Final harvest	Residual BA/ 70 70 70 0	acre: * %	10 10		
x x x	Stand Age: * 20 20 40	2023 2023 2023 2043	Harvest Method: * Partial-cut - Low Partial-cut - Low Final harvest	Residual BA/ 70 70 0	acre: * * *	Expense: * 10 10 10 10		+ Add 1 Row
x x x	Stand Age: * 20 20 40	Vear. 2023 2023 2043 CANCEL / BACK	Harvest Method: * Partial-cut - Low Partial-cut - Low Partial-cut - Low Final harvest	Residual BA/ 70 70 70 0	acre: * %	Expense: * 10 10 10 SUBMIT / NEXT		+ Add 1 Row



ield Simulator								
✓ nulator Reports	✓ Basic Information	✓ Stand Parameters	✔ Site Index	✓ Harvest Regime	STEP 6: Financial Parameters	STEP 7:	STEP 8: Market Stumpage Prices	STEP 9: Results
							of Clear of Restart	# Export tio
Simulator Model: Natural Lobiolly Pine		Number of Acres: 100	Ва	90 ft ²	Site Index (@) 75	25):	۵ 🗈 🛞	Reports:
Financial Param	otors							
Warning: Do NOT	use your browser's BACI	K button. Use the buttons be	low or menu items to navi	igate the simulator.				Tutorial: 🕟
Planning horizon mir	nimum year: *			Planning horizon maxir	num year: *			
Enter the beginning an	d ending year window in time	for all profitability and cashflow cal	culations. The beginning year is th	he reference year for all inflation	on projections.			
Marginal federal inco Enter the average annu tables, the marginal ra	ome tax rate: * ual percentage rate at which au te is always greater than the a	dditional income will be taxed. Due verage rate.	to the progressive structure of in	come tax Capital gains t	ax rate: * It which capital gains income (e.g.,	timber sale revenue, land sale	proceeds) is taxed.	
25				25				
Before-tax discount r Enter the average annu	rate: * ual percentage rate of interest	for the best alternative investment	opportunity over the planning ho	After-tax disco The after-tax di prizon period. you may enter	ount rate: * scount rate is calculated automat any other after-tax rate here as w	ically using the marginal federa. ell.	l income tax rate and the before-t	ax discount rate. But
5				3.75				
		CANCEL / BACK				SUBMIT / NEXT		

Figure 6. Step 6: Providing information concerning time horizon, tax rates, and discount rates of future revenues and costs.

a Simi	ulator											
✓ lator Rep	oorts Basic Inf	rmation	✓ Stand Parameters	✓ Site In	dex	✓ Harvest Regim	e Financ	✓ al Parameters	STEP 7: Financial Transac	ctions	STEP 8: Market Stumpage Pr	ices Re
											ර Clear ර	Restart 🛛 🕯 Export
simulator latural Lo	r Model: bblolly Pine		Number of Acres: 100		Basal 90	I Area: ft ²		Site Index (@ 75	!5):		۰ 💿 🛞	Rej
inancia	al Transactions											
Varning	: Do NOT use your br	wser's BACK	button. Use the buttons	below or menu	items to naviga	te the simulato	or.					Tutorial:
<i>¥arning</i> ⊺	r: Do NOT use your br	wser's BACK Descrij	t button. Use the buttons	below or menu	items to naviga First	te the simulato : Year: *	Last Year: *	Repeat Every:	\$ Amount: *	Per:	Trees per Acre: *	Tutorial: % Inflation: *
Warning T X	r: Do NOT use your bro Type: * ⑦ Reforestation Expense	wser's BACK Descrip Site pr	t button. Use the buttons	below or menu	items to naviga First 204	te the simulato	Last Year: *	Repeat Every: *	\$ Amount: * 130	Per: Acre	Trees per Acre: *	Tutorial: % Inflation: *
Warning T X X	If I and extended on the second se	wser's BACK Descrip Site pr Plantin	r button. Use the buttons ption: reparation ng	below or menu	items to naviga First 204 204	te the simulato : Year: ★ 43	Last Year: * 2043 2043	Repeat Every: *	\$ Amount: * 130 55	Per: Acre Acre	Trees per Acre: *	Tutorial: % Inflation: * 1 1



d Simulato								
✓ ator Reports	✓ Basic Information	✓ Stand Parameters	✓ Site Index	✓ Harvest Regime	✓ Financial Parameters	✓ Financial Transactions	STEP 8: Market Stumpage Prices	STEP 9
							of Classe of Pastars	LEwant
							O Clear	* Export
imulator Mode atural Lobiolly F	el: Pine	Number of Acres: 100	Ba	isal Area: 90 ft ²	Site Index (@ 75	125):	۵ 🕲 🛞	Report
larket Stun	npage Prices							
Varning: Do I	NOT use your browser's BACK	button. Use the buttons be	low or menu items to navi	igate the simulator.				Tutorial: 💽
Sawlog	Products							
Produc	t Name:	Low-End DBH: *	High-End DBH: *	% Inflation: *	Price: *		Minimum Sale:	
x Sawtin	mber	11	40	1	27	/ Ton	✓ 0	
								Product
							+ Add 1	Product
Pulpwo	od Products							
Produc	t Name:	Low-End DBH: *	High-End DBH: *	% Inflation: *	Price: *		Minimum Sale:	
X Pulpw	rood	5	9	1	7	/ Ton	✓ 0	
							+ Add 1	Product
Other P	Products							
Produc	t Name:	Low-End DBH: *	High-End DBH: *	% Inflation: *	Price: *		Minimum Sale:	
riouuc	n-saw	9	11	1	15	/ Ton	✓ 0	
X Chip-r								
X Chip-r							+ Add 1	Product
X Chip-r		CANCEL / BACK				SUBMIT / NEXT	+ Add 1	Product

Figure 8. Step 8: Entering stumpage price information in eYield.

OUTCOMES INFORMATION FROM EYIELD

The outcomes produced by eYield include a report that details the financial profitability of the management regime that was specified for the simulated forest. Here, the report may provide a net present value (or worth), internal rate of return, benefit/cost ratio, and other financial metrics, depending on the simulator selected and the characteristics of the management regime. A report describing cashflow provides additional detail of the financial outcomes (before and after tax) each year of the planning horizon and the cashflow during the years where a transaction (harvest, reforestation expense, hunting lease income, etc.) occurred.

During years in which a harvest activity has been specified, a growth and harvest report describes the pre-harvest forest conditions (basal area and trees per acre by tree diameter class), the amounts of basal area and trees per acre scheduled for harvest, and the residual (standing) conditions after the harvest. This report informs a market conversion report, which details the types of products scheduled for harvest, the volume and weight of the products scheduled for harvest, and the value of these products. Finally, a woodflow summary report condenses the market conversion information into a simple description of the amount of each forest product projected for harvest, along with the per-acre value of these products (Figure 9).

CONCLUSION

As an alternative source of information for the management of small private forests in the eastern United States, eYield may provide Extension agents and forest landowners with insight into the economic potential of management practices. Periodically, forest landowners should assess options for the management of their forests to understand the economic trade-offs associated with both actions (such as harvests) and inactions (such as harvest deferment for carbon sequestration purposes). The time between assessments will certainly vary based on land-



WOODFLOW SUMMARY REPORT

STAND NAME: ACREAGE: 100*

Management Plan:

This is a natural loblolly pine stand with a site index of 75 (base age 25). In 2023 a low-cut harvest was executed, leaving 70 square feet of residual basal area per acre. In 2023 a low-cut harvest was executed, leaving 70 square feet of residual basal area per acre. In 2043 a final-cut harvest is planned, leaving 0 square feet of residual basal area per acre.

2024
75
21
90

		Standing	Harve	sted		M	arketable		
-	Age	Basal Area	Basal Area	TPA	Product	MBF	Cords	Tons	Value
2023	20	70	19.61	80.63	Pulpwood	-	5.56	12.15	\$84.20
							5.56	12.15	\$84.20
2023	20	70	19.61	80.63	Pulpwood	-	5.56	12.15	\$84.20
							5.56	12.15	\$84.20
2043	40	0	121.16	62.25	Sawtimber	23.44	-	160.42	\$5,232.64
			121.16	62.25	Pulpwood	-	4.93	5.38	\$45.48
						23.44	4.93	165.79	\$5,278.12



owner concerns for future revenues, but practically speaking, these assessments might occur every 3–5 years as forests change in character. An Extension agent may also incorporate eYield into their programming and outreach efforts to illustrate forest management options to landowners who own naturally regenerated forests and who are interested in potential financial returns from these forests. Given the relatively accessible nature of eYield, short demonstrations of the projected outcomes from managing a stand of trees could be of interest to these landowners. The range of analyses is limited to natural forests, yet the analyses can be of value to the roughly 80% of private landowners who have yet to seek advice from others regarding the management of forests they own. The flexible approach in which an Extension agent can define a management problem facilitates some very refined analyses that allow exploration of options when costs and prices may be somewhat uncertain.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, National Institute of Food and Agriculture (Award number 2018–68006–28095).

REFERENCES

- Bettinger, P., & Merry, K. (2019). Land cover transitions in the United States South: 2007–2013. Applied Geography, 105, 102–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2019.03.002
- Hepp, T. E. (1994). Winyield 1.0. A Windows-based forest growth, yield, and financial analysis tool for southern forests. *Compiler*, 12(4), 8–10.
- Hepp, T. E. (1982). YIELD: Timber yield forecasting and planning tool. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry*, 6(3), 135–140. https://doi.org/10.1093/sjaf/6.3.135

Bettinger, Lee, Merry, and Drummond

Oswalt, S. N., Smith, W. B., Miles, P. D., & Pugh, S. A. (2019, March). Forest resources of the United States, 2017. A technical document supporting the Forest Service 2020 RPA assessment (General Technical Report WO-97). U. S. Department of Agriculture. https://www.fs.usda.gov/research/publications/gtr/gtr_wo97.pdf